arXiv:1410.3174v1 [math.AG] 13 Oct 2014

Numbers of points of hypersurfaces without lines over finite fields *

Masaaki Homma †
Department of Mathematics and Physics
Kanagawa University
Hiratsuka 259-1293, Japan
homma@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We give an upper bound for the number of points of a hypersurface over a finite field that has no lines on, in terms of the dimension, the degree, and the number of the elements of the finite field.
Key Words: Hypersurface, Finite field, Number of points
MSC: 14J70, 14G15, 14G05

1 Introduction

A few years ago, we proved the Sziklai bound for plane curves in [4, 5, 6]. Let C be a plane curve of degree d over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. If C has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-line as a component, then the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points $N_q(C)$ of C is bounded by

$$N_q(C) \leq (d-1)q + 1 \tag{1}$$

except for the case $d = q = 4$ and C is projectively isomorphic over $\mathbb{F}_4$ to

$$K : (X+Y+Z)^4 + (XY+YZ+ZX)^2 + XYZ(X+Y+Z) = 0.$$

This bound is not bad. Actually, for $d = 2$, $\sqrt{q}+1$ (if q is square), $q-1$, q, $q+1$, $q+2$, there are nonsingular curves of degree d over $\mathbb{F}_q$ that attain the upper bound.

We extended this bound for curves in higher dimensional projective spaces [3]. In this paper, we attempt another generalization of this bound.

We use the notation $\theta_q(s) = \frac{q^{s+1}-1}{q-1}$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $s > 0$, $\theta_q(s)$ is exactly the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of $\mathbb{P}^s$. For $s \leq 0$, $\theta_q(0) = 1$, $\theta_q(-1) = 0$, $\theta_q(-2) = -\frac{1}{q}$, etc. The identity $\theta_q(s) = q^s + \theta_q(s-1)$ holds for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

*Submitted to to the Fq11 proceedings.
†Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (24540056), JSPS.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose $n \geq 2$. Let X be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d. If X does not contain any $\mathbb{F}_q$-lines, then*

$$N_q(X) \leq (d-1)(q^{n-1}+1) + (d-2)(\theta_q(n-3)-1) \tag{2}$$

except for the case $n = 2$, $d = q = 4$ and the curve is projectively isomorphic over $\mathbb{F}_4$ to K above.

When $n = 2$, this theorem agrees with [6, Theorem 3.1], and several plane curves achieve the upper bound in (2) as mentioned above.

When $n = 3$, an elliptic quadric surface has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-line and attains the upper bound in (2). As for details, see [1, Chapter 5] and/or [2, Chapter 15].

Notation 1.2 For a variety X over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denotes the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of X. In particular, $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the n-dimensional finite projective space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The number of $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is denoted by $N_q(X)$. $\check{\mathbb{P}}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denotes the set of hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If Y is a variety defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$, the image of Y under the q-Frobenius map is denoted by $Y^{(q)}$.

The number of elements of a finite set S is denoted by $^{\#}S$.

2 The first step

We prove Theorem 1.1 by induction on n. Let X be a hypersurface over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $X = \bigcup_i X_i$ be the decomposition into $\mathbb{F}_q$-irreducible components, and $\deg X_i = d_i$. If

$$N_q(X_i) \leq (d_i-1)(q^{n-1}+1) + (d_i-2)(\theta_q(n-3)-1)$$

holds for each X_i, we have upper bound (2) for X, because $d = \sum_i d_i$ and $N_q(X) \leq \sum_i N_q(X_i)$. So we assume, a priori, that X *is irreducible over* $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Under the above circumstance, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.1 *If, for any $H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$,*

$$N_q(X \cap H) \leq (d-1)(q^{n-2}+1) + (d-2)(\theta_q(n-4)-1)$$

holds, then

$$N_q(X) \leq (d-1)(q^{n-1}+1) + (d-2)(\theta_q(n-3)-1).$$

To show the above, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.2 *Let S be a subset of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. If $^{\#}(S \cap H) \leq \delta$ for any $H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then*

$$^{\#}S \leq (\delta-1)q + 1 + \lfloor \frac{\delta-1}{\theta_q(n-2)} \rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor \frac{\delta-1}{\theta_q(n-2)} \rfloor$ is the integer part of $\frac{\delta-1}{\theta_q(n-2)}$.

Proof. See [3, Proposition 2.2].
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 2.1] Let

$$\delta = (d-1)(q^{n-2}+1) + (d-2)(\theta_q(n-4)-1).$$

Then, from the assumption on $N_q(X \cap H)$ and Lemma 2.2,

$$N_q(X) \leq (\delta-1)q + 1 + \lfloor \frac{\delta-1}{\theta_q(n-2)} \rfloor.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta - 1 &= (d-2)(q^{n-2} + \theta_q(n-4)) + q^{n-2} \\ &\leq (d-2)\theta_q(n-2) + q^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\frac{\delta-1}{\theta_q(n-2)} \leq (d-2) + \frac{q^{n-2}}{\theta_q(n-2)}.$$

Since $q^{n-2} < \theta_q(n-2)$, $\lfloor \frac{\delta-1}{\theta_q(n-2)} \rfloor = d-2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &(\delta-1)q + 1 + \lfloor \frac{\delta-1}{\theta_q(n-2)} \rfloor \\ &\quad = \big((d-1)(q^{n-2}+1) + (d-2)(\theta_q(n-4)-1) - 1\big)\, q + 1 + d - 2 \\ &\quad = \big((d-1)q^{n-2} + (d-2)\theta_q(n-4)\big)\, q + d - 1 \\ &\quad = (d-1)(q^{n-1}+1) + (d-2)(\theta_q(n-3)-1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

In the next section, we will show the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 *For an irreducible surface S over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, the bound (2) is valid.*

Here we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 under Theorem 2.3.
Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1.1] When $n = 2$, the statement of the theorem is the same as [6, Theorem 3.1]. Let us consider the case $n = 3$, that is, X is a surface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^3$ which is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then we can apply Lemma 2.1 for X except the case $d = q = 4$. This exceptional case is just the case where we handle in Theorem 2.3. Therefore the induction on $n \geq 3$ works well by Lemma 2.1.

3 Surface over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^3$

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2.3. An explicit statement is as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *Let S be an irreducible surface over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, then $N_4(S) \leq 51$.*

If any $\mathbb{F}_4$-plane section $S \cap H$ of S is not $\mathbb{F}_4$-isomorphic to the curve $K \subset H = \mathbb{P}^2$, one can apply Lemma 2.1 (2), and get $N_4(S) \leq 51$.

We need a property of the plane curve K.

Remark 3.2 If a plane curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of degree 4 is projectively isomorphic to K over $\mathbb{F}_4$, then any $\mathbb{F}_4$-line of the plane meets the curve at least one $\mathbb{F}_4$-point. Indeed, $K(\mathbb{F}_4) = \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_4) \setminus \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ (see [4, Section 3]).

The next lemma is trivial, but meaningful for the proof of Theorem 3.1

Lemma 3.3 *Let Y be a surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ over an algebraically closed field. Let $P \in Y$ and H be a plane which is not a component of Y such that $H \ni P$.*

(1) *Suppose that P is a nonsingular point of Y. Then P is a singular point of $Y \cap H$ if and only if $H = T_P Y$, where $T_P Y$ is the embedded tangent plane to Y at P.*

(2) *If P is a nonsingular point of $Y \cap H$, then it is also a nonsingular point of Y.*

Now we return to our surface S.

Lemma 3.4 *If there is a singular $\mathbb{F}_4$-point on S, then $N_4(S) < 51$.*

Proof. Let $P \in S$ be a singular $\mathbb{F}_4$-point, and $\mathcal{L}_P$ the set of $\mathbb{F}_4$-lines passing through P. Then

$$N_4(S) = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_P} {}^{\#} \left(S(\mathbb{F}_4) \cap l \setminus \{P\} \right) + 1.$$

Since the intersection multiplicity $i(S.l; P)$ of S and l at P is at least 2, we have ${}^{\#} \left(S(\mathbb{F}_4) \cap l \setminus \{P\} \right) \leq 2$. Hence $N_4(S) \leq 2\theta_4(2) + 1 = 43$.

By this lemma, we can assume additionally that each point of $S(\mathbb{F}_4)$ is a nonsingular point of S.

Lemma 3.5 *Let S be an irreducible surface over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Suppose that each $\mathbb{F}_4$-point of S is nonsingular. Let H be an $\mathbb{F}_4$-plane of $\mathbb{P}^3$, and $t(H) = {}^{\#}\{P \in S(\mathbb{F}_4) \mid H = T_P S\}$. Then*

(1) $0 \leq t(H) \leq 5$;

(2) (i) *if* $t(H) = 0$, *then* $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 14$;
(ii) *if* $t(H) = 1$, *then* $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 11$;
(iii) *if* $t(H) = 2$, *then* $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 10$;
(iv) *if* $t(H) = 3$, *then* $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 8$;
(v) *if* $t(H) = 4$, *then* $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 6$;
(vi) *if* $t(H) = 5$, *then* $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) = 5$.

(3) $t(H) = 5$ *if and only if* $S \cap H$ *is a double conic and irreducible as a topological space.*

Proof. Since $\deg S \cap H = 4$, $N_4(S \cap H) \leq 14$ and equality holds if and only if $S \cap H$ is projectively isomorphic to K over $\mathbb{F}_4$ by [6, Theorem 3.1]. From now on, we assume that $t(H) > 0$. By Lemma 3.3 and the assumption that each point of $S(\mathbb{F}_4)$ is nonsingular, $t(H)$ coincides with the number of singular $\mathbb{F}_4$-points of $S \cap H$.

(Case I) Suppose that $S \cap H$ is absolutely irreducible. Since $S \cap H$ is of degree 4 in $H = \mathbb{P}^2$, the arithmetic genus of $S \cap H$ is 3. Hence the number of singular points is at most 3. Hence $t(H) \leq 3$.

- When $t(H) = 1$, let $P_0 \in S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)$ be the singular point of the curve $S \cap H$. Then, since $i(l.S \cap H; P_0) \geq 2$ for any $\mathbb{F}_4$-line l on H,

$$\begin{aligned} ^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) &= \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{P_0} \cap \check{H}} {}^{\#}(l \cap (S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \setminus \{P_0\}) + 1 \\ &\leq 5 \cdot 2 + 1 = 11, \end{aligned}$$

  where $\mathcal{L}_{P_0} \cap \check{H}$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}_4$-lines of $H = \mathbb{P}^2$ passing through P_0, which consists of 5 lines.

- When $t(H) = 2$, the $\mathbb{F}_4$-line passing through two singular points does not meet other points of $(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4))$. So we have $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 10$ by similar arguments to the above.

- When $t(H) = 3$, the normalization of $S \cap H$ at those three points is $\mathbb{P}^1$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_4$. Hence $^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq N_4(\mathbb{P}^1) + 3 = 8$.

(Case II) Suppose that $S \cap H$ is not absolutely irreducible, but irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_4$, which is divided into two sub-cases.

(II-1) Let $S \cap H$ be a union of two absolutely irreducible conics that are conjugate over $\mathbb{F}_4$ each other. Then $S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)$ is contained in the intersection of those two conics. Hence $t(H) \leq {}^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 4$.

(II-2) Let $S \cap H = l \cup l^{(4)} \cup l^{(4^2)} \cup l^{(4^3)}$, where l is a line over $\mathbb{F}_{4^4}$ and not defined over a smaller field. Then $S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4) \subset l \cap l^{(4)} \cap l^{(4^2)} \cap l^{(4^3)}$. Hence $t(H) \leq {}^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 1$.

(Case III) Suppose that $S \cap H$ is not irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_4$. Since $S \cap H$ has no $\mathbb{F}_4$-line as a component, $S \cap H = C_1 \cup C_2$, where C_i is a plane curve of degree 2 which is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_4$.

(III-1) If $C_1 = l \cup l^{(4)}$ and $C_2 = l' \cup l'^{(4)}$ for lines l and l' over $\mathbb{F}_{4^2}$ that are not defined over $\mathbb{F}_4$, then $S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4) \subset (l \cap l^{(4)}) \cup (l' \cap l'^{(4)})$. Hence $t(H) \leq {}^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 2$.

(III-2) If $C_1 = l \cup l^{(4)}$ and C_2 is absolutely irreducible, then $S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4) \subset (l \cap l^{(4)}) \cup C_2(\mathbb{F}_4)$. Hence $t(H) = 1$, and

$$ {}^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if} \quad (l \cap l^{(4)}) \notin C_2 \\ 5 & \text{if} \quad (l \cap l^{(4)}) \in C_2. \end{cases} $$

(III-3) If both C_1 and C_2 are absolutely irreducible, then we have the following list according the number of $C_1 \cap C_2(\mathbb{F}_4)$. In this case, the set of singular points in $S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4)$ is just $C_1 \cap C_2(\mathbb{F}_4)$.

${}^{\#}(C_1 \cap C_2(\mathbb{F}_4))$	$t(H)$	${}^{\#}(S \cap H(\mathbb{F}_4))$
1	1	9
2	2	8
3	3	7
4	4	6
$C_1 = C_2$	5	5

From the above observations, we have all assertions.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 3.1] Let $N = N_4(S)$ and

$$ n_i = {}^{\#}\{H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_4) \mid t(H) = i\}. $$

Note that $n_i = 0$ if $i > 5$ by Lemma 3.5 (1). Hence

$$ n_0 = \theta_4(3) - (n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5). $$

Recall that each point of $S(\mathbb{F}_4)$ is nonsingular. Hence

$$ n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + 4n_4 + 5n_5 = N. $$

First we show that n_5 can be assumed at most 1. Let H_1 and H_2 be $\mathbb{F}_4$-planes in $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $t(H_1) = t(H_2) = 5$. Suppose $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap S(\mathbb{F}_4) \neq \emptyset$. Choose a point $P \in H_1 \cap H_2 \cap S(\mathbb{F}_4)$. For $i = 1$ and 2, since $H_i \cap S$ is a double conic, P is a singular point of $H_i \cap S$. Hence $H_i = T_P(S)$ by Lemma 3.3, and hence $H_1 = H_2$. Suppose the contrary: $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap S(\mathbb{F}_4) = \emptyset$ and $H_1 \neq H_2$. Let l be the $\mathbb{F}_4$-line $H_1 \cap H_2$, and H'_3, H'_4, H'_5 the other three $\mathbb{F}_4$-planes containing the line l. Then, for $j = 3, 4$ and 5, $H'_j \cap S$ is not $\mathbb{F}_4$-isomorphic to K. In fact, since l is an $\mathbb{F}_4$-line on the plane H'_j and

$l \cap (H'_j \cap S(\mathbb{F}_4)) \subset l \cap S(\mathbb{F}_4) = \emptyset$, $H'_j \cap S$ cannot be projectively isomorphic to K over $\mathbb{F}_4$ by Remark 3.2. Hence $N_4(H'_j \cap S) \leq 13$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} N_4(S) &= N_4(H_1 \cap S) + N_4(H_2 \cap S) + \sum_{j=3}^{5} N_4(H'_j \cap S) \\ &\leq 5 + 5 + 3 \times 13 = 49, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the target inequality already holds in this case.

Consider the correspondence

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(P, H) \in S(\mathbb{F}_4) \times \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_4) \mid P \in H\}$$

with two projections $\pi_1 : \mathcal{P} \to S(\mathbb{F}_4)$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{P} \to \check{\mathbb{P}}^3(\mathbb{F}_4)$. By using π_1, we have $^{\#}\mathcal{P} = N\theta_4(2)$. On the other hand, by using π_2,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\#}\mathcal{P} =& \sum_{j=0}^{5} \sum_{\substack{H \text{ with} \\ t(H)=j}} {}^{\#}(H \cap S(\mathbb{F}_4)) \\ \leq& 14(\theta_4(3) - (n_1 + \cdots + n_5)) + 11n_1 + 10n_2 + 8n_3 + 6n_4 + 5n_5 \\ & \text{(by Lemma 3.5)} \\ =& 14\theta_4(3) - 2(\sum_{j=1}^{5} jn_j) + n_5 - n_1 \\ =& 14\theta_4(3) - 2N + n_5 - n_1 \\ \leq& 14\theta_4(3) - 2N + 1 \text{ (because } n_5 \leq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$N(\theta_4(2) + 2) \leq 14\theta_4(3) + 1 = 1191,$$

and then $N \leq \lfloor 51 + \frac{18}{23} \rfloor = 51$. This completes the proof.

References

[1] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, Projective geometries over finite fields, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1979.

[2] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, Finite projective spaces of three dimensions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[3] M. Homma, *A bound on the number of points of a curve in a projective space over a finite field*, in: M. Lavrauw, G.L. Mullen, S. Nikova, D. Panario, and L. Storme (Eds.), Theory and Applications of Finite Fields, 103–110 Contemp. Math., vol. 579, AMS, Providence, 2012.

[4] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Around Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field*, Finite Fields and Their Applications 15 (2009), 468-474.

[5] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field* II, in: G. McGuire, G.L. Mullen, D. Panario, I.E. Shparlinski (Eds.), Finite Fields: Theory and Applications, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 518, AMS, Providence, 2010, 225–234. (An update is available at arXiv 0907.1325v2.)

[6] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field* III, Finite Fields Appl. 16 (2010) 315–319.